

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,864	10/22/2001	Vladimir Zubkov	01-569/LSI1P177	9835
24319 7.	590 12/02/2004	EXAMINER		INER
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION			ERDEM, FAZLI	
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, C	A 95035	2826		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Q
- 1	_

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/044,864	ZUBKOV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fazli Erdem	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 September 2004</u> .  2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-13 and 15-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-13 and 15-17 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 18-22 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Ac	6)					
\(\tau\) \(\tau\)	vannuary Fa	1 01 1 apor 110./Wall Date 1 1202004				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/3/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Carlson et al. disclose the required divalent ion doping, i.e. calcium doping of the silicon oxide that's used as the barrier layer in columns 5 and 6 and it is clearly specified further in claim 8.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13 and 15-17 allowed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marieb et al. (US 2002/0076925) in view of Carlson et al. (3,632,438).

Regarding Claims 18-22, Marieb et al. disclose copper alloys for interconnections having improved electromigration characteristics and methods of making same where formation of copper alloy interconnect lines on integrated circuits includes introducing dopant elements into a copper layer. Copper alloy interconnect lines may be formed by providing a doping layer over a copper layer, driving dopant material into the copper layer with a high temperature step and

Art Unit: 2826

polishing the copper layer to form individual lines. Marieb et al. fail to disclose the required divalent ion doping of the barrier layer. However, in Claim 7, Carlson et al. disclose the required divalent ion doping of the barrier layer.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required barrier layer doped with the divalent ions in Marieb et al. as taught by Carlson et al. in order to have a copper interconnect structure with higher reliability.

#### Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,864

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE

November 28, 2004

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER YECHNOLOGY COUTER 2800 Page 4